

Comment	Response
Open House Materials	
The information provided was well done and very informative. My expectation is all materials displayed will be available on-line.	The materials from Public Open House #1 are available on the project website at: http://gflenv.com/moose-creek-eowhf .
A lot of information to absorb in a short period of time. Would appreciate having it available online.	Comment acknowledged.
High level of the project only, at first glance the presentation is good.	Comment acknowledged.
The project seems valuable and well measured.	Comment acknowledged.
Good explanation of your process and plan and timeline/schedule.	Comment acknowledged.
I wish there was more of a presentation with general discussion and Q&A presented to a group as I am not the type to walk up to people and would benefit from listening to everyone's questions and concerns.	GFL has found that the Open House drop-in format with display panels allows participants to review the information at their own pace and obtain the same information as other participants regardless of what time they attend the Open House. The format of future public events will be reviewed as appropriate. With the current COVID-19 pandemic situation and limitations on future public gatherings, the public is encouraged to contact Greg van Loenen of GFL directly to answer any questions or obtain additional information. Contact information is available on the project website.
The presentation is excellent.	Comment acknowledged.
Good general presentation but I have to wait until more specific details are available.	Additional details will be available on the project website and in the draft Terms of Reference.
Nicely presented. Well explained. Lots of information on chart.	Comment acknowledged.
It was well setup and I got my answers - Well done.	Comment acknowledged.
Odour	
GFL would need to improve the reduction of odours that the site is producing.	In 2017, GFL initiated a 3-year plan to enhance the landfill gas management system at the EOWHF and substantial improvements in landfill gas odour have been achieved from past operations. Additional gas wells have been installed, and a second blower skid and a third flare will be installed in 2020, which are anticipated to continue to minimize odours at the site. GFL will continue to undertake measures to minimize the potential for odours from operations.
It is important that odour elimination be the priority in your operation. This issue would need to be resolved before any future expansion.	
Despite the promises, the reductions did not occur. It is sometime unbearable especially in summer which constraints us to keep the house's windows closed and to use the AC. The project doesn't demonstrate a reduction of the smells.	
At Casselman, the air quality is poor, the smells. Please help with the smells.	
On paper it all sounds/looks good but in reality the smell in Casselman is at a high level - daily at various intensity.	
I/we currently smell the dump from our business and house. I don't think you should expand until you learn to manage the current smell issue! In the summer of 2018 (the worse year ever) the smell in Casselman was daily and very intense. Last summer (2019) there were days (~3-4/month) with no smell. However 2 days we actually had the smell inside the physio clinic - that is not acceptable.	
Casselman business (i.e., our St. Hubert restaurant) has a lot of out of town visitors commenting on the smell. We are afraid it will cost business in terms of deterring people (Highway commuters) from stopping again *We need the business.	
Smell!	
For current operations, my concern or comment would be about the smell as it's the easiest to "see"	
Our concern is smell - please ensure GFL can successfully handle the gas smell before increasing cells.	
I live South east of landfill site and concerned about odours.	
At municipality [Nation], we get a lot of complaints about the smells, affecting living standards and property values.	
Smelly.	
The company has mentioned in "Le Droit" (I guess this is a new paper) that they are putting in place a system to reduce the smell. An evaluation criteria should be that the system shall be operational and clearly demonstrates a reduction of the smell before any authorization is been granted.	Odour has been identified as an evaluation criteria to be applied as part of the Environmental Assessment process. In 2017, GFL initiated a 3-year plan to enhance the landfill gas management system at the EOWHF and substantial improvements in landfill gas odour have been achieved from past operations. Additional gas wells have been installed, and a second blower skid and a third flare will be installed in 2020, which are anticipated to continue to minimize odours at the site. GFL will continue to undertake measures to minimize the potential for odours from operations.
From the perspective of a region's resident and a 417 user, the main actual issue is the smell. I was surprised it was only very little mentioned on the presentation boards.	Additional information is presented in a Landfill Gas Management handout to outline the work that GFL has undertaken at the site since 2017 to minimize odours, and the additional work that will be undertaken in 2020 to continue to minimize odours from operations. The Fact Sheet has been sent to everyone on the project contact list and posted on the project website.

Comment	Response
Alternatives	
The alternative presented is acceptable in the location presented but it would be very important to maintain the aesthetics of the site because of its location. A tree line should be planted along the whole site so the traffic, visitors to the area would not be able to see the operation.	The potential for visual effects related to the project will be considered as part of the assessment criteria during the Environmental Assessment and potential mitigation measures (e.g., screening) will be considered for any identified visual effects.
Alternative 1 would be the one I choose if I had to choose only because I live directly south and this would slow down the timeline where the landfill would be at its closest.	Comment acknowledged.
With either alternative, the closer the landfill site comes to Hwy 138, expect more noise, odour complaints from users of both Hwy 138 & Hwy 417.	The potential for noise and odour effects associated with the project will be considered as part of the assessment criteria during the Environmental Assessment and potential effects will be assessed relative to regulatory standards.
Alternatives - incinerators should be implemented. No need to upload landfill.	GFL has considered a range of alternatives to the project, including thermal treatment, as part of developing the Terms of Reference. Based on the nature of the wastes typically managed at the EOWHF, GFL has identified that incineration is not a practical or cost effective option for the company and its customers.
Incineration.	
Modernisation - Incinerators.	
Should be going to newer technologies. Burying garbage is old school.	
Consultation	
A committee should be created with the residents of Moose Creek including key members of volunteer groups such as the Chamber of Commerce, Optimist Club, Volunteer Fire Department and Moose Creek Recreation Association. By creating this consultation committee the host community would be involved.	A Community Liaison Committee (CLC) for the EOWHF has been in place since 1999. The CLC includes neighbouring landowners, the Township of North Stormont and the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks. The CLC meets regularly during the year regarding operational matters related to the EOWHF. GFL would be pleased to meet with any interested stakeholders to discuss their specific interest in the project.
Make the information easily available with full transparency.	The materials from Public Open House #1 are available on the project website at: http://gflenv.com/moose-creek-eowhf .
I really would like to get a tour of the facility.	Once the conditions related to the COVID-19 pandemic allow for tours of the facility to be conducted in a safe manner, GFL would be pleased to provide a tour of the facility and will contact the commenter to make the appropriate arrangements.
Basically [the consultation program] follows the procedures followed earlier from 2014-2018. The time frame may be too short especially if there is a repeat of the 2 1/2 years gap before the 2015 Terms of Reference was approved.	Comment acknowledged.
Transportation	
If is too early, but I expect questions regarding the use of the road 700.	A traffic impact assessment study for the local network will be completed as part of the Environmental Assessment.
For current operations, my concern or comment would be about Transportation = amount of traffic going by my house (large trucks, trailers)	
Important to improve road traffic on 138. Possible wider ramps - May review if traffic light is needed.	
Other	
GFL should include a plan to produce Natural Gas for heating in partnership with gas consortium providers and bring this utility to our area.	GFL is investigating a range of options to utilize the surplus landfill gas generated including the production of renewable natural gas.
Very supportive. Job creation and future use of methane gas.	Comment acknowledged.
Concerned of the size of the project and how if we are being affected by the landfill at its current size, how much worst it could get when it's at its maximum.	The rationale for the project will be prepared as part of the Terms of Reference and updated during the Environmental Assessment if appropriate. The size of the project is based on a 20-year planning period with no change to the currently approved annual fill rate. The potential effects of the project will be assessed as part of the Environmental Assessment and mitigation measures necessary to mitigate or minimize the effects will be identified.
Main concern for me is property value. I feel with the increased size of the landfill and potential effects of it will severely impact my property value.	The potential effects of the project will be assessed as part of the Environmental Assessment and mitigation measures necessary to mitigate or minimize the effects will be identified.
It may be advisable that the land adjacent to the 138 be kept apart for future commercial development of potential associated industries e.g., greenhouses.	The alternative concepts for the project will be developed in more detail during the Environmental Assessment. This may include associated business opportunities, although the potential exists for these to be developed in closer proximity to the energy or heat source (i.e., the landfill gas to energy facility).
With the expansion eastwards, it will affect the sod operations of Manderly Sod. It will only leave a small window of opportunity of site preparations for the next area by 2025.	The potential effects of the project on local business like Manderley Sod will be assessed during the Environmental Assessment.
There is obviously needs for landfill capacity in eastern Ontario. The city of Ottawa, for example, has grown extensively over the last 40 years. As more homes are built, there will be competition with pre-existing landfills in Ottawa.	The rationale for the project will be prepared as part of the Terms of Reference and updated during the Environmental Assessment if appropriate. This will consider the need for the EOWHF to continue to provide landfill capacity over the long term.
In the socio-economic report, how many other landfills in eastern Ontario will be closing in the next 5 years and then from 2025-2045.	The rationale for the project will be prepared as part of the Terms of Reference and updated during the Environmental Assessment if appropriate. This will consider the availability of landfill capacity in Eastern Ontario.
Invest in Prescott & Russel (After all we put up with the smell) like before which the funding was used to purchase land in bog and forest Larose - (it's good for public image).	GFL is an active supporter of the local communities in the area of the EOWHF. The company would be pleased to consider future opportunities to continue providing this support in a beneficial and meaningful way to these communities.
For current operations, my concern or comment would be about ecology, ground water, surface water	The potential effects of the project on ecology, ground water and surface water will be assessed during the Environmental Assessment.